

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/816,017	03/31/2004	Byung Eun Yoo	F-8177 2616		
28107 7590 06/21/2007 JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP			EXAMINER		
122 EAST 42N			GILBERT, ANDREW M		
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3767		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	06/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/816,017	YOO, BYUNG EUN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Andrew M. Gilbert	3767	•

	Andrew M. Gilbert	3767	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
		91 4 1 4 4 1.	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a)  They raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
,	24. Can attached Nation of Non Co		(DTOL 224)
<ul> <li>I. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>D. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
S. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an.€	explanation of '
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a l).
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
1.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	120	
13.  Other:	2210		
KEVIN C. SIRMO SUPERVISORY PATENT	EXAMINER	Andrew Gilbert	
	\	6/14/2007	•
/llvi ( &	mon		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's amendments to the claims raise new issues requiring new search and consideration.

6/14/07